

NOW READY.

BOUND VOLUMES OF THE DAILY PRESS.
 For the year 1870. Price \$10.
 Apply at the Daily Press Office,
 Hongkong, 1st February, 1871.

BIRTH.

On the 17th April, at Bedford Villa, Lower
 Stratham, the wife of ALBERT SEVENS, Esq.,
 of a son.

MARRIAGE.

On the 18th April, at St. George's Wal-
 thamstow, by the Rev. P. Reine, HENRY DAN-
 IEL HARRIS, Esq., late of Shanghai, third son
 of the late Henry Benjamin Harris, Esq., M.D.,
 of Canton, third daughter of FRANK ADAMS,
 Esq., of St. John's, Walthamstow.

The delivery of the Daily Press from this office
 commences on Wednesday morning at 10.15, and the last
 newspapers left the office at 10.30.

The Daily Press

HONGKONG, JUNE 1st, 1871.

WHEN SIR RICHARD MACDONELL endeavoured
 to induce the Legislative Council to
 give their sanction to the Special Fund,
 while the account was kept secret from the
 public, it will be recalled that the strongest
 protest was made in these columns against
 that principle of action. At the time it was
 thought by some that the comments were
 more severe than the occasion demanded;
 but they were so far endorsed by the public
 that the non-official members of the Council
 entered a protest against the proceeding, and
 the result was that so much attention was
 called to the matter that Sir RICHARD MAC-
 DONELL, the error in the Special Fund
 Account, which from the first it had been
 contained in this paper must exist, calculating
 from the very basis on which Sir RICHARD'S
 "plausible explanation" to the Council meeting
 on Monday. It was pro-
 posed to vote sum of \$1,000 to \$1,800,
 as an increase in the pay of the staff—
 a vote apparently well justified by the cir-
 cumstances shown to exist—when Mr. SMITH
 suggested that it should not be placed to the
 Police Department but under some other
 heading, because there was a great deal of
 discussion about the Police Estimates.
 There is no objection to this proposition in
 itself, but there is the strongest ground to
 protest against the reason assigned for it.
 It may be a question whether the salaries of
 the staff at the goal should strictly be placed
 under the Police Estimates, though after all,
 as the duties are mainly of a police nature,
 that, guarding the criminals when they are
 taken out for exercise, &c., there is no im-
 propriety in placing the sum under that
 heading. This is a matter of opinion, and is
 of comparatively little importance. But we
 protest against the reason which is assigned,
 namely, that the Police Estimates are
 the subject of public discussion. The
 very thing that the Government here
 requires in order to prevent mistakes is that
 all matters, but more especially those con-
 nected with the finances, should be the sub-
 ject of the fullest criticism; and any attempt
 at making things appear comfortable by
 placing sums under headings where they are
 likely to escape notice, is to be reprobated in
 the highest degree. The line of demarcation
 which separates the goal constable from the
 other police is, as above noticed, some-
 what fine; and the sub-division ought
 certainly not to be made with the
 object of preventing the Police Estimates
 from appearing large, and thereby becoming
 the subject of discussion. It is this wretched
 desire to hush everything up that is at the
 bottom of nine-tenths of the abuses in the
 Colony; but it may be taken as quite certain
 that, though it may be passed over in other
 directions, no equivocation or reservation will
 be tolerated in regard to matters of finance
 and account. Imagine a Book-keeper telling
 his employer that he noticed the monthly
 totals under "House Expenses" were rather
 large; and as he feared that this might lead
 to dissection, and a possible reduction of the
 table, allowances of himself and his fellow
 clerks, he had passed the cook's wages to
 "Trade Expenses" or "General Charges".
 It is probable that so good an accountant as
 this would find his services somewhat rapidly
 dispensed with, and after all there is very
 little difference between "the proposition
 imagined and that made by the Honourable
 Mr. SMITH.

But the sum total of the whole affair was
 admirably given in few words by the Hon.
 Mr. ROWZER. "If you can't brave discussion,
 you had better not do it." This is the long
 and short of the whole question of public
 discussion as applied to Government officials
 and Government measures. If they are liable
 to be misinterpreted at times, in the long
 run their true merits are always disclosed by
 the most searching criticism; and it is a very
 bad symptom to find the Government blink-
 ing the light of publicity in the way in which
 it is linked by the Hongkong officials. To
 their attempts to keep back legitimate public
 information are attributable nine-tenths of
 the errors or exaggerations into which public
 writers are betrayed. The remedy for any
 inconvenience of this kind is not to be found
 in trying to hold things back, but in frankly
 telling the public of matters of general in-
 terest concerning which it has a right to be
 informed. Government officials in Hong-
 kong would do well to adopt the words of
 Mr. ROWZER as a golden principle with
 respect to public discussion. As HARRISON
 placed over his dining-room mantel-piece
 the philosophical words—

"If I can't manage pour vie, non pas vive
 pour manger."
 the Hongkong officials can decorate their
 offices with a scroll—

"If you cannot brave discussion, you had
 better not do it."

and if they adhere to the rule, they will
 speedily find that they have nothing to fear
 and everything to gain from the dreaded
 bugbear of public criticism.

The Chinese Tea market has been opened by
 the purchase of 3,000 boxes of Capers at \$1.24
 which is considered by some to be about \$1.4
 over last year. It is not considered likely that
 there will be much disposition to follow at such
 rates.

A rumour is going about yesterday to the
 effect that a telegram had been received stat-
 ing that the Bank of France and the Italian
 had been burnt. We regret the rumour as current,
 but it requires confirmation.

SUPREME COURT.

BENSON THE HON. J. BALL.

The following juries served yesterday:
 Messrs. C. E. Nunn, O. Higgins, H. Nicolson,
 Fisher, J. A. Barrett, J. C. Russell, and
 J. J. Don. The jurying cases, Mr. Hayler
 conducting the prosecution.

LARCENY.

Chin Ahoy, who was acquitted the other
 day of one charge of larceny, was brought to
 court again, to which he pleaded not guilty.
 The circumstances were very similar to
 those set up by the prosecution in the for-
 mer case. Prisoner, it was stated, went on
 the night of the 17th February to the Hap-
 ping-mat, in Fungo Street, and passed the
 night with one Lee-chow-ko, who left the room
 during the night, returning shortly afterwards.
 Prisoner, it was stated, found a box, in which
 were the clothes, broken open, and mixed
 various articles of clothing from it. She did
 not see prisoner again until she saw him in
 the street, when she asked him what he had
 done with the clothes. "That's the man that
 stole my clothes," Prisoner did not reply.

In answer to the Court, the witness Lee-
 chow-ko said that the prisoner's friend, who was
 with him, had not left when she went out of the
 room.

The learned Judge laid down to the jury that
 if the prisoner's friend stole the property, the
 prisoner was not implicated in the theft, unless
 she was shown to have been in the room when
 the theft was committed. He also pointed out the fact that
 the property was not found upon the prisoner.

The jury returned a verdict of Not Guilty.

The jury was discharged and another sworn,
 consisting of Messrs. A. Chitt, J. H. Macpherson,
 J. H. Macpherson, T. Howard, R. B. Phillips, C. W.
 East, and J. C. Cooper.

Chin Ahoy was charged with a third
 larceny from a brother. The case was slightly
 different from the preceding ones. The prisoner
 and friend went to the brother (Sun-wing-shing),
 and went to different rooms. The two men
 entered a room, and went out for tea (as the
 other case), and on returning the prisoner was
 not to be found. Her suspicious being aroused
 she found that the drawer of her clothes-stand
 was not closed properly, as she had left it before
 she went out. It was looked at and found to
 be empty, and she carried the box herself. From
 the drawer she missed a jacket and \$10. This
 woman made a report to the Police, and the next
 day prisoner, who was arrested in the interval,
 was identified at the brother by the inmates.

The "friend" who appeared to be so deeply
 implicated, was upon the prisoner's disap-
 pearance looked upon the prisoner, which he
 was given in charge the next day, but no
 information had been filed against him by
 the Attorney-General. The larceny which
 was committed by the prisoner, was admitted
 by her, in her defence, admitted his identity,
 and said he was arrested before he left the
 house, that the larceny occurred there, and
 found nothing on his person, that he was
 searched by the police, and a man arrested, and
 the pawn tickets produced in the first case were
 found upon him, but nothing in connection with
 this case had been found.

His Honor in summing up said this was a
 question of fact for the jury. It was a strong
 fact that the property had not been found on
 the prisoner's person, he did not say a word of
 anything, he was not in the room when the
 larceny was committed, as a whole. His
 Honor also laid stress on the prisoner's admission
 that he was at the brother. If he had not
 done so, he should have told the jury to be very
 careful about the evidence of a man arrested, and
 on the information of another already in custody.

The prisoner was convicted by a majority of
 4 to 3.

His Honor mentioned that there was some-
 thing known about this man in jail.

His Honor said he did not want evidence of
 that. He knew what it was.

His Honor then addressed the prisoner, and
 said that he was the subject of the case, and
 an information has been brought against you.
 The first time, the jury took a merciful view of
 the case, and acquitted you; the second time,
 you were acquitted, I think, on very just
 grounds, upon the evidence which was before
 the jury, and I entirely concur in the
 verdict. In dealing with your case I must not
 consider the other charges against you, but as
 you are a young man, and have no previous
 record, I must indicate a severe punishment.
 The sentence of the Court is that you are
 to be imprisoned and kept to penal servitude
 for three years.

The Sessions were then adjourned to Friday,
 at 10 a.m., when juries will again be required
 to attend.

BEFORE THE HON. CHIEF JUSTICE SMITH.
 IN BANKRUPTCY.

Re Lester.
 Mr. Lester's petition to be adjudicated
 bankrupt was before the Court again yesterday.
 Mr. BREWSTER appeared on behalf of Mr. J. B.
 Watson. The petitioner put in a letter from
 Mr. Lester, containing a statement of the
 arrangement suggested by the Chief Justice.

Mr. BREWSTER asked the petitioner whether
 he had paid \$50 to Mr. Holmes recently.
 Mr. Lester said he had, upon the 18th or
 17th instant.

Mr. BREWSTER said he objected to letting
 the petitioner out on his promissory note, unless
 backed by a good name.

His Honor said he was sorry the matter
 could not be arranged, and advised the peti-
 tioner to obtain the security.

The petitioner said he would try to do so.
 His Honor also told him he could not be
 adjudicated bankrupt unless he had a good name,
 and he had not, and remarked upon the fact that
 he had no books.

The petitioner was sent back to file proper
 accounts.

Re Tai Tai.
 An adjourned final examination. Mr. Sharp
 appeared for the bankrupt, Mr. Francis ap-
 peared for the creditors, and Mr. BREWSTER
 examined the bankrupt regarding his
 business transactions, partners, &c., and with
 reference to the accounts filed.

The hearing was adjourned in order that the
 bankrupt should be able to produce the accounts
 which he delivered to Mr. Francis within three
 weeks from date.

Re J. M. Guedes, Jr.
 An adjourned final examination. Mr. Sharp
 appeared for the bankrupt, Mr. Francis ap-
 peared for the creditors, and Mr. BREWSTER
 examined the bankrupt regarding his
 business transactions, partners, &c., and with
 reference to the accounts filed.

The hearing was adjourned in order that the
 bankrupt should be able to produce the accounts
 which he delivered to Mr. Francis within three
 weeks from date.

Re J. M. Guedes, Jr.
 An adjourned final examination. Mr. Sharp
 appeared for the bankrupt, Mr. Francis ap-
 peared for the creditors, and Mr. BREWSTER
 examined the bankrupt regarding his
 business transactions, partners, &c., and with
 reference to the accounts filed.

The hearing was adjourned in order that the
 bankrupt should be able to produce the accounts
 which he delivered to Mr. Francis within three
 weeks from date.

Re J. M. Guedes, Jr.
 An adjourned final examination. Mr. Sharp
 appeared for the bankrupt, Mr. Francis ap-
 peared for the creditors, and Mr. BREWSTER
 examined the bankrupt regarding his
 business transactions, partners, &c., and with
 reference to the accounts filed.

The hearing was adjourned in order that the
 bankrupt should be able to produce the accounts
 which he delivered to Mr. Francis within three
 weeks from date.

Re J. M. Guedes, Jr.
 An adjourned final examination. Mr. Sharp
 appeared for the bankrupt, Mr. Francis ap-
 peared for the creditors, and Mr. BREWSTER
 examined the bankrupt regarding his
 business transactions, partners, &c., and with
 reference to the accounts filed.

The hearing was adjourned in order that the
 bankrupt should be able to produce the accounts
 which he delivered to Mr. Francis within three
 weeks from date.

Re J. M. Guedes, Jr.
 An adjourned final examination. Mr. Sharp
 appeared for the bankrupt, Mr. Francis ap-
 peared for the creditors, and Mr. BREWSTER
 examined the bankrupt regarding his
 business transactions, partners, &c., and with
 reference to the accounts filed.

The hearing was adjourned in order that the
 bankrupt should be able to produce the accounts
 which he delivered to Mr. Francis within three
 weeks from date.

Re J. M. Guedes, Jr.
 An adjourned final examination. Mr. Sharp
 appeared for the bankrupt, Mr. Francis ap-
 peared for the creditors, and Mr. BREWSTER
 examined the bankrupt regarding his
 business transactions, partners, &c., and with
 reference to the accounts filed.

The hearing was adjourned in order that the
 bankrupt should be able to produce the accounts
 which he delivered to Mr. Francis within three
 weeks from date.

Re J. M. Guedes, Jr.
 An adjourned final examination. Mr. Sharp
 appeared for the bankrupt, Mr. Francis ap-
 peared for the creditors, and Mr. BREWSTER
 examined the bankrupt regarding his
 business transactions, partners, &c., and with
 reference to the accounts filed.

The hearing was adjourned in order that the
 bankrupt should be able to produce the accounts
 which he delivered to Mr. Francis within three
 weeks from date.

Re J. M. Guedes, Jr.
 An adjourned final examination. Mr. Sharp
 appeared for the bankrupt, Mr. Francis ap-
 peared for the creditors, and Mr. BREWSTER
 examined the bankrupt regarding his
 business transactions, partners, &c., and with
 reference to the accounts filed.

The hearing was adjourned in order that the
 bankrupt should be able to produce the accounts
 which he delivered to Mr. Francis within three
 weeks from date.

Re J. M. Guedes, Jr.
 An adjourned final examination. Mr. Sharp
 appeared for the bankrupt, Mr. Francis ap-
 peared for the creditors, and Mr. BREWSTER
 examined the bankrupt regarding his
 business transactions, partners, &c., and with
 reference to the accounts filed.

The hearing was adjourned in order that the
 bankrupt should be able to produce the accounts
 which he delivered to Mr. Francis within three
 weeks from date.

Re J. M. Guedes, Jr.
 An adjourned final examination. Mr. Sharp
 appeared for the bankrupt, Mr. Francis ap-
 peared for the creditors, and Mr. BREWSTER
 examined the bankrupt regarding his
 business transactions, partners, &c., and with
 reference to the accounts filed.

POLICE INTELLIGENCE.

DEPOSED BY RUSSELL, Esq.

Mok-long-fook, charged three days of his
 liberty by indulging in an open air exhibition
 of the national infamy, at 8.30 a.m. in the
 streets of Victoria.

LARCENY.

Lo-ang, of Sun-chai-trader in tin-mines,
 which he takes periodically to certain mines
 worked by Chinese about seven days steam
 from Hongkong, was put under a rule of bail,
 requiring him to appear in court on the 10th
 at 10 o'clock, to answer to a charge of larceny,
 having been accused by a married woman of
 Yow-mat-see with having taken her husband
 away in March last from his place, and
 having been seen by her husband, who had
 complained had warned him when he had
 taken his home in his company, that she
 should look to him to produce him, since which
 she had not seen her husband. Finding that
 the woman, who was with him, she had
 questioned him, and as he had defied her, she
 gave him in charge. A relative of the com-
 plainant asked that he was perfectly satisfied
 with the evidence, and that the woman's com-
 plainant had not returned. Complainant had
 private resources, and went back to her home
 at her discretion; complainant's husband
 had to work out a time agreement.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

At 8.30 a.m. on the 10th instant, the
 P. & O. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.
 Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa,
 Captain P. O. S. Steamer Orissa, Captain P. O. S.

Post Office,
Kong, 15th July, 1870.

